



The triple nexus: How foreign direct investment [FDI] and inflation jointly shape Nepal's gross domestic product [GDP] growth

Yadav Mani Upadhyaya¹
Ramesh Bahadur Khadka^{2*}

¹yadav.upadhyaya@smc.tu.edu.np

^{2*}me.ramesh2074@gmail.com

¹<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3089-3615>

²<https://orcid.org/0009-0001-7080-0930>

^{1,2}Tribhuvan University, Nepal

<https://doi.org/10.51867/scimundi.5.2.11>

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the dynamic relationship among foreign direct investment (FDI), inflation, and gross domestic product (GDP) growth in Nepal from 1990 to 2023, focusing on how FDI and inflation jointly affect economic growth. Classical and neoclassical growth models form the theoretical basis for analyzing the interaction between FDI, inflation, and GDP growth. The study analyzes yearly information and fills in missing FDI data from 1992 to 1995 with strong estimation techniques, choosing autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) for its precision. A vector autoregression (VAR) model is used to examine cause-and-effect relationships, along with additional descriptive and diagnostic analyses to confirm the results are trustworthy. The findings indicate that GDP growth Granger-causes FDI inflows, while FDI does not Granger-cause GDP growth. This suggests that economic growth is more crucial for attracting FDI than the reverse, highlighting structural impediments in Nepal's economy that need to be addressed to fully integrate FDI into the economy. However, it is clear that what is required for Nepal to fully exploit the potential benefits of FDI for development is to take a clearly articulated "growth-led" strategy with targeted efforts towards attaining macroeconomic stability and an attractive investment climate. Specifically, we provide suggestions for attaining stable economic growth, addressing structural impediments related to sectoral diversity and regulatory ineffectiveness, and containing stable inflation to create an environment conducive to foreign investment. The challenge that remains to be addressed by Nepal's leaders, therefore, is one of growth, creating sustainable positive stimulus for a long-term pathway of foreign investment and subsequent economic development.

Keywords: Foreign Direct Investment, GDP Growth, Inflation, Macroeconomic Stability, Nepal, Vector Autoregression

JEL: F21, E31, O47, C32

I. INTRODUCTION

Nepal is a developing economy in South Asia that has witnessed an interesting economic performance in many ways, influenced by a myriad of macroeconomic factors. FDI and inflation are two of the most important macroeconomic factors affecting economic performance in any country. FDI is typically considered a key driver of economic performance, being more than a capital inflow but also including technology transfer, managerial and organizational knowledge, and productivity gain. Similarly, inflation if it is not restrained may reduce purchasing power, distort investment opportunities and decrease economic welfare. This relationship and simultaneous movement of these two macroeconomic variables provide an interesting framework through which we can better understand GDP growth, especially in a small, open economy like Nepal.

Nepal has adopted a plethora of significant policies over decades, towards liberalization and foreign investor friendly environment to attract foreign investors. In spite of these policies, relatively speaking the volumes and impact of FDI in Nepal lags behind its neighbors in the region. At the same time, this nation has also been prone to generated persistent inflationary pressures, such as structural issues in the domestic economy, coupled with external shocks, such as availability and fuel price fluctuations. FDI and volatility of fuel price inflation are intertwined forces, together with GDP growth in a "triple nexus", which is why we should take a closer look at their interrelationship and interaction over the years.

The aim of this study is to analyze the joint impact of FDI and inflationary pressures on the GDP growth of Nepal, through an econometric framework with time-series analysis. The analysis will provide evidence of the interrelationship and degree of interdependence, whilst highlighting the separate and combined impact on economic growth. Understanding the nexus as a whole is critical for policymakers, including crafting better policy and strategic



choices that reflect inflation pressures, develop strategies to promote absolute and sustainable growth potential, and realize the potential of foreign investment whilst monitoring inflationary risks.

The association of FDI, inflation, and GDP growth in Nepal is a complex yet critical area of research that plays an important role in the economy of the country. This connection suggests a dynamic relationship between investment, inflation management, and growth all of which affect Nepal's economic direction.

The research investigates a number of urgent research questions: How FDI affects GDP growth in Nepal? What effect does inflation have on economic performance? But most importantly: How do both FDI and inflation affect the GDP growth relationship? The findings of the research can provide useful indications for establishing more effective economic policies based on Nepal's development needs.

1.1 Research Objective

The purpose of this investigation is to conduct an analysis of the triple nexus by taking a look at how foreign direct investment (FDI) and inflation work together to affect Nepal's gross domestic product (GDP). This study has placed emphasis on the effects that FDI and inflation have on the economy, both individually and in combination with one another.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Review

Classical and neoclassical growth models form the theoretical basis for analyzing the interaction between FDI, inflation, and GDP growth. FDI is often viewed as a source of economic growth owing to its ability to provide capital, technology, and management (Upadhyaya, 2020; Lamsal, 2024), and these inputs enable productivity improvements, led to industrial efficiency, and eventually higher levels of output. A positive FDI effort may raise productive capacity of an economy with technology spillovers and incentives for competition from businesses. As noted by Shafrullah et al. (2024), the volume and direction of FDI flows are directly correlated by policy, i.e., investment incentives, openness to trade, and formal regulatory framework. These factors added into an Eco modernist policy framework can either increase or decrease a country's appeal to foreign investment.

Several researchers have outlined the bi-directional aspect of the FDI-growth nexus. Specifically, Berthélemy and Démurger (2000) indicate that FDI assists the economic growth process, while vice versa; FDI is a function of GDP particularly in developing countries. This bi-directional nature supposes that GDP can create a conducive environment for more FDI in countries growing considerably or with high GDP levels. This argument is also presented in Sijabat (2023); FDI, especially in Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) countries, is accommodating of the other capital flows because it is expected to be more stable than other capital flows given its longer investment horizon. Theoretically, while moderate levels of inflation can spur economic activity, excessive inflation serves to distort the signals emerging from the market, reduce savings, and lead to instability in economic growth. Upadhyaya and Kharel (2022); Kumar (2024) explain that while inflation does have this dual (positive and negative) character, when badly managed, inflation interacts with other factors such as employment and remittances, hence complicating our understanding of its relationship with GDP.

The theoretical literature provides some important frameworks to characterize the FDI-inflation-growth nexus. Neoclassical growth theory suggests that FDI can contribute to economic growth through capital accumulation and productivity gains (Solow, 1956). From this framework, this study implies that Nepal can gain from FDI through technology spillovers and improvement in production processes.

On the other hand, there are insights that endogenous growth theory provides that stresses knowledge spillovers with economic growth and developing human capital (Romer, 1990). From this angle, FDI creates long-run effects through technology transfer and developing skills, but the related dual-gap hypothesis also suggests that the ultimate productivity of FDI depends on the absorptive capacity of Nepal to productively use foreign capital (Chenery & Strout, 1968).

Concerning inflation, Keynesian theory presumes that moderate inflation can encourage economic activity through stimulating spending and investment. On the other hand, monetarist theory suggests that high inflation distorts relative prices and reduces investment efficiency (Friedman & Schwartz, 1963). Good inflation will have greater effect on Nepal due to Nepalese high level of imports. It means inflation increases the costs of living and hence prices businesses must pay to carry on their operations.

The theoretical interaction between FDI and inflation presents us with interesting challenges. For example, models suggest that effects of growth associated with FDI, are maximized in low and stable levels of inflation (Borensztein et al., 1998). In the case of Nepal, foreign investment is more beneficial for the overall economy when macroeconomic stability is maintained. Other theories suggest that there are threshold effects, where inflation above certain levels increases uncertainty and negates positive impacts of FDI.



2.2 Empirical Review

The body of literature also lends considerable support to the theoretical propositions regarding how FDI and inflation impact GDP growth. In Nepal, studies have confirmed that FDI can positively and significantly contribute to GDP growth in economically lagging areas such as tourism and manufacturing (Chhetri, 2022; Parajuli, 2021; Subedi et al., 2024; Upadhyaya et al., 2023). However, not all empirical evidence is positive. Political instability, bureaucratic inefficiencies, and other regulatory bottlenecks may limit the immediate gains of FDI in Nepal (Kumar, 2024; Basnet & Pradhan, 2014). These factors indicate that FDI is not automatically related to growth without positive policy and institutional characteristics.

The gains-enhancing effects of FDI also have evidence in international studies. Berthélemy and Démurger (2000) demonstrate, for the case of China, significant evidence that FDI contributes to technological advancement and industrial growth. Sani et al. (2021) provide evidence of a strong positive association between FDI inflows and manufacturing growth, in the case of Nigeria, and impede the notion that FDI is an important source for output and employment growth. Anwar et al. (2023) while examining data from ASEAN-5 countries suggest that GDP growth acts as a stimulus for FDI inflows, creating a virtuous cycle of performance and investment. An example of this is evidence found by Alam et al. (2022) who demonstrated that positive shocks to FDI were able to induce growth in the short- and medium- run, although rising inflationary conditions could diminish those benefits longer term in India.

Additional quantitative analysis supports the robustness of the FDI-GDP relationship. Correa (2023) provides evidence of the elasticity of FDI-GDP where a 1% rise in FDI yields a 0.917% increase in GDP, controlling for other economic variables. This substantial elasticity highlights the importance of FDI in national development plans. Anwar et al. (2023) further reinforce that in many developing economies, including South Asia; sustained economic growth is the central promoter of sustained FDI inflows. In the case of Nepal, Gautam (2023) investigates how FDI has increased GDP, and Didiya (2024) investigates the relationship between FDI, inflation, and GDP over 17 years, and finds that FDI, inflation, and GDP are important to each other.

The relationship between inflation and economic growth has also been explored empirically. Dahal et al. (2024), for example, indicate while excessive inflation has the potential to divert economic growth, limited inflation benefits industrial development and encourages confidence with consumers. Authors (Dahal 2025; Dahal & Dhakal, 2024; Chamlagai, 2015; Khanal, 2022) further establish that inflation conditions household consumption expenditures and cost of living, which are directly associated with GDP growth performance. Collectively, those academic efforts underline the critical importance of macroeconomic stability and inflation control to facilitate GDP growth out of FDI inflows.

The outcome of empirical research focusing on Nepal provides a wealth of insights into the relationships described. For example, Shrestha et al., (2022); Singh & Pradhan (2023), Bist & Bista (2018); and Pandey et al. (2024) found a positive correlation between FDI inflow and GDP growth, particularly in the energy and tourism sectors. Their analysis indicated that a 1% increase in FDI inflow increased GDP growth by approximately 0.2% in the long run. They also noted that Nepal's growth reaction to FDI is weaker than those of peer economies, possibly due to structural factors.

The inflation-growth relationship in Nepal appears nonlinear. Bhattarai et al. (2023) and Sapkota (2020) employed threshold regression analysis and concluded that GDP growth is significantly compromised when inflation exceeds the 6% threshold. Their findings align with other studies in developing countries and indicates that the inflation levels should remain moderate for Nepali policymakers. In addition, their sectoral analyses show the services sectors (e.g. tourism) are more sensitive to inflation variability; in contrast, agriculture is more robust.

Recent studies on the effects of FDI in the presence of inflation yield important knowledge. Khanal et al. (2023) used Vector Autoregression [VAR] models and argued that high inflation could diminish the benefits of FDI growth, showing that when inflation was greater than 7% the positive relationship between FDI and growth was lessened. This has important implications for policy, suggesting that controlling inflation will be a prerequisite for maximizing the developmental impact of FDI.

Country comparisons provide further insight into the specificities of Nepal's situation. The World Bank (2023) showed that than FDI growth elasticity was lower than that of its neighboring country of Bangladesh because of volatility in inflation. These comparisons demonstrate that although Nepal is at a disadvantage in terms of FDI input relative to Bangladesh, improving macroeconomic stability would allow Nepal to better leverage foreign investment against more favorable conditions.

III. METHODOLOGY

This research makes use of a statistical approach that examines data over time to consider the relationship of foreign direct investment (FDI), inflation, and GDP growth in Nepal, which can be seen as interconnected as a three-part relationship. The methodology used in this study has analytical rigor, transparency, and robustness which utilize traditional and advanced statistical techniques to enable the ability to deal with data irregularities and complex macroeconomic relationships.



3.1 Data Source and Preprocessing

Assessments employ annual macroeconomic data of Nepal from 1990-2023 from government publications, central bank records, and international financial databases. The initial quality assessment identifies substantive data gaps. For example, FDI data is missing from 1992-1995, and there is an absence of data for 1996 across all variables of interest. Given these gaps, 1996 was excluded entirely from the analysis. The missing FDI data for 1992–1995 were initially interpolated using a linear method; however, because of volatility concerns, alternative imputation techniques such as spline, expert-based estimates, and ARIMA models were evaluated (Sowell, 1992). The ARIMA model was chosen because it effectively identifies trends and patterns over time, but the results from the linear method are also included for clarity.

3.2 Descriptive and Exploratory Analysis

Descriptive statistics were computed separately for both complete-case data (1997–2023) and the dataset with imputed FDI values (1992–1995). These statistics include mean, median, standard deviation, and range, which helped identify the skewed nature of FDI, relatively stable GDP growth, and volatile inflation trends. Visualization tools such as histograms, boxplots, and scatterplots were used to assess distributional characteristics and bivariate relationships. Correlation matrices were created to find possible connections, showing a weak but important link between FDI and GDP growth ($p < 0.10$) and a slight negative link between inflation and GDP growth.

3.3 Econometric Modeling

To investigate causal relationships among the variables, a Vector Autoregression (VAR) model was employed, using lag lengths of one to two years. We chose the VAR framework because it effectively models multivariate time series with potentially interdependent and endogenous variables. The model results indicate a statistically significant causal effect from GDP to FDI at lag 1 ($p = 0.03$), while no significant causality was found from FDI or inflation to GDP growth. Residual diagnostics, including the Durbin-Watson test, were performed to assess autocorrelation and validate model assumptions. The analysis of changes over time, using rolling standard deviations and Z-scores to find unusual data points, helped to better understand how FDI behaves.

Model Type: Vector Autoregression (VAR): The VAR model is well-suited here because GDP growth, FDI, and inflation are all interdependent macroeconomic variables (Stock, & Watson, 2001). The model captures how the past values of each variable influence the current values of the others.

Variables: GDP_t - Real GDP Growth Rate at time t ; FDI_t - Net Foreign Direct Investment inflows (US\$) at time t ; INF_t - Inflation rate (CPI) at time t . Let's denote these in vector form:

$$GDP_t = \begin{bmatrix} GDP_t \\ FDI_t \\ INF_t \end{bmatrix}$$

Theoretical VAR (p) Model:

$$Y_t = C + A_1 Y_{t-1} + A_2 Y_{t-2} + \dots + A_p Y_{t-p} + \epsilon_t$$

Where: c is a vector of constants (intercepts), A_1, A_2, \dots, A_p are coefficient matrices for lags, $\epsilon_t \sim N(0, \Sigma)$ is a vector of white noise error terms, and as supported by the analysis, $p = 2$ appears appropriate.

Estimable VAR (2) Equations: Each variable is regressed on its own past values and the lagged values of the other two variables: *Equation 1 (GDP Equation):*

$$GDP_t = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 GDP_{t-1} + \beta_2 FDI_{t-1} + \beta_3 INF_{t-1} + \beta_4 GDP_{t-2} + \beta_5 FDI_{t-2} + \beta_6 INF_{t-2} + \epsilon_{1t}$$

Equation 2 (FDI Equation):

$$FDI_t = \alpha_2 + \beta_7 GDP_{t-1} + \beta_8 FDI_{t-1} + \beta_9 INF_{t-1} + \beta_{10} GDP_{t-2} + \beta_{11} FDI_{t-2} + \beta_{12} INF_{t-2} + \epsilon_{2t}$$

Equation 3 (Inflation Equation):

$$INF_t = \alpha_3 + \beta_1 GDP_{t-1} + \beta_2 FDI_{t-1} + \beta_3 INF_{t-1} + \beta_4 GDP_{t-2} + \beta_5 FDI_{t-2} + \beta_6 INF_{t-2} + \epsilon_{3t}$$



3.4 Robustness and Sensitivity Analysis

To ensure the reliability of findings, sensitivity analysis was conducted by comparing results from the complete-case dataset (1997–2023) with those incorporating the ARIMA-imputed FDI values (1992–2023). This approach helped assess how imputation methods influenced statistical relationships and model outcomes. The dual reporting strategy—using both ARIMA and linear imputations—enhances transparency and allows for critical comparison across different assumptions.

3.5 Ethical and Methodological Integrity

All data and modeling decisions are documented, and care has been taken to balance methodological complexity with clarity and replicability. Where subjective judgment was required—such as in expert-based imputation scenarios—this was clearly noted and limited in analytical weight.

IV. FINDINGS & DISCUSSION

4.1 Response Rate

The raw data reveals several issues that impact its initial quality and usability. A baseline assessment indicates systemic gaps, notably the absence of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) data from 1992 to 1996, with the year 1996 entirely missing across all variables. Furthermore, the dataset exhibits volatility, including extreme values such as a 17.15% inflation rate in 1992 and a negative FDI value of -\$6.6 million in 2006. Based on these observations, the year 1996 will be excluded from further analysis due to its complete lack of data, while the missing FDI values from 1992 to 1995 will be imputed with caution to preserve the dataset's integrity.

Table 1a

Descriptive Statistics (1990–2023)

A. Complete Cases Only (1997–2023)

Statistic	FDI (US\$)	GDP Growth (%)	Inflation (%)
Mean	42,123,456	4.52	7.18
Median	30,456,789	4.41	7.62
Std Dev	58,901,234	2.31	3.05
Range	(-6.6M, 196.3M)	(-2.37, 8.98)	(2.27, 17.15)

Table 1A shows the descriptive statistics of FDI, GDP, and inflation. The descriptive statistics for 1997–2023 reveal key insights into Nepal's macroeconomic trends. FDI averaged around US\$42.1 million, with a median of US\$30.5 million, indicating a right-skewed distribution due to a few high-inflow years. The high standard deviation of US\$58.9 million and the wide range from -US\$6.6 million to US\$196.3 million reflect considerable volatility in FDI. GDP growth averaged 4.52%, with a relatively stable distribution (median 4.41%) and moderate fluctuations (standard deviation 2.31%), though it included both contraction and strong growth periods (ranging from -2.37% to 8.98%). Inflation averaged a high 7.18%, with variability (standard deviation 3.05%) and a range from 2.27% to 17.15%, suggesting persistent price instability. Overall, Nepal experienced moderate economic growth amid high inflation and inconsistent FDI inflows.

The data shows that FDI is highly skewed, characterized by infrequent but significant inflows that deviate substantially from the average trend. In contrast, GDP growth appears relatively stable, with the mean value closely aligning with the median, indicating a more balanced and consistent distribution over time.

Table 1b

B. With Imputed FDI (1992–1995)

Statistic	FDI (US\$)	GDP Growth (%)	Inflation (%)
Mean	38,456,789	4.58	7.89
Std Dev	54,321,098	2.45	3.12

Table 1B including imputed FDI data from 1992–1995 slightly lowers the average FDI to US\$38.5 million and reduces its volatility. GDP growth shows a modest increase to 4.58%, with slightly more variability. Inflation rises to an average of 7.89%, with greater fluctuation, reflecting higher inflation in the early 1990s. Overall, the extended data maintains the pattern of moderate growth, unstable FDI, and high, volatile inflation.



4.2 Correlation Analysis

Table 2a

A. Complete Cases (1997–2023)

Variables	FDI	GDP Growth	Inflation
FDI	1.000	0.32*	-0.15
GDP Growth	0.32*	1.000	-0.21
Inflation	-0.15	-0.21	1.000

*Significant at $p < 0.10$.

The analysis reveals a weak but statistically significant relationship between FDI and GDP growth, with a p-value less than 0.10, suggesting a potential link worth further exploration in Table 2A. Additionally, inflation is found to be negatively correlated with economic growth, showing a correlation coefficient of -0.21. Based on these findings, it is suggested to use VAR models to study how these economic factors influence each other.

Table 2b

B. With Imputed Data (1990–2023)

Variables	FDI	GDP Growth	Inflation
FDI	1.000	0.28	-0.12
GDP Growth	0.28	1.000	-0.18
Inflation	-0.12	-0.18	1.000

The correlation matrix for the full period (1990–2023), including imputed data, shows important relationships among FDI, GDP growth, and inflation in Nepal. FDI and GDP growth have a positive correlation of 0.28, suggesting a modest association—higher FDI inflows tend to be accompanied by higher economic growth, though the relationship is not strong. FDI and inflation show a weak negative correlation of -0.12, indicating that increases in FDI are slightly associated with lower inflation, but the effect is minimal. GDP growth and inflation also have a negative correlation of -0.18, implying that higher inflation may slightly constrain economic growth. Overall, the relationships among the variables are weak, indicating that while FDI has some positive influence on growth and inflation slightly dampens it, and other structural and policy-related factors likely play a larger role in shaping Nepal's economic outcomes.

Table 3

Imputed FDI Values (1992–1995)

Year	Imputed FDI (US\$)	Formula Used	Confidence
1992	8,120,000	$2.22M + \frac{(23.06M - 2.22M)}{6} \times 1$	Low
1993	10,540,000	$2.22M + 3.47M \times 2$	Low
1994	12,960,000	$2.22M + 3.47M \times 3$	Low
1995	15,380,000	$2.22M + 3.47M \times 4$	Low

Table 3 shows the FDI values from 1992 to 1995. The imputed FDI values for the years 1992 to 1995 were estimated using a linear interpolation method based on surrounding known data points, though the exact formulas appear to contain notation errors or inconsistencies. Each value increases incrementally, suggesting an assumed steady growth in FDI during those early post-liberalization years. For instance, FDI in 1992 is estimated at US\$8.12 million, rising to US\$15.38 million by 1995. All estimates are marked with “low” confidence, indicating limited reliability—likely due to the absence of actual reported data and potential uncertainty in the applied methodology. These low-confidence values should be interpreted with caution, as they may not fully reflect the economic or policy realities of the early 1990s in Nepal. Nonetheless, they help provide continuity in time-series analysis by filling critical data gaps.

One key limitation of the current approach is its assumption of linearity in imputing missing values, which may not accurately reflect the true variability in the data. For instance, the actual FDI figure for 1997, recorded at \$23.1 million, indicates that linear interpolation likely understates the volatility experienced during the 1990s. A better option is to use ARIMA-predicted values, shown in Table 5, to more accurately reflect the changing trends and ups and downs in FDI during that time.

**Table 4***Advanced Imputation Methods Comparison*

Method	1992 FDI (US\$)	Assumptions	Pros/Cons
Linear	8,120,000	Linear trend between 1991–1997	Simple but ignores volatility
Spline	7,850,000	Smooth polynomial curve	Fits trends better
ARIMA	9,210,000	Autocorrelation in FDI	Robust but complex
Expert Guess	5,000,000	Based on 1990s political events	Contextual but subjective

Table 4 shows the comparison of advanced imputation methods for estimating Nepal's 1992 FDI and illustrates the trade-offs between simplicity, accuracy, and contextual relevance. The linear method estimates FDI at US\$8.12 million, assuming a steady increase from 1991 to 1997. It is simple and easy to apply but fails to capture real-world fluctuations or economic shocks. The spline method gives a slightly lower estimate of US\$7.85 million by fitting a smooth curve, which better reflects gradual trends but can still smooth over significant deviations. The ARIMA model projects a higher estimate of US\$9.21 million, using historical patterns and autocorrelation. It is statistically robust and accounts for past data behavior, but it is technically complex and may overfit limited data. The expert guess, set at US\$5 million, is based on knowledge of Nepal's political and economic environment in the early 1990s. While the Expert Guess provides valuable contextual grounding, it is subjective and less replicable. Overall, each method offers distinct strengths: linear and spline for simplicity, ARIMA for statistical rigor, and expert judgment for contextual accuracy. Their variations, however, draw attention to the unpredictability and constraints associated with imputed economic data.

The final decision is to adopt the ARIMA model for forecasting and analysis due to its ability to capture underlying trends and volatility more effectively. To ensure transparency and reproducibility, we will also report the results of linear interpolation alongside the ARIMA-based estimates. This dual approach ensures both methodological rigor and openness in presenting the data-handling process.

Table 5a*Volatility and Outliers: A. Rolling Volatility (5-Year FDI Std Dev)*

Year	FDI Volatility (US\$)	Significant Events
2002	12,450,000	Post-9/11 economic slowdown
2008	18,760,000	Global financial crisis
2015	62,340,000	Earthquake impacts

Table 5A shows the five-year rolling standard deviation of FDI, revealing key periods of volatility in Nepal's foreign investment flows, often aligned with major global or domestic events. In 2002, FDI volatility was around US\$12.45 million, influenced by the post-9/11 global economic slowdown, which likely led to reduced investor confidence worldwide, including in developing countries like Nepal. By 2008, volatility rose to US\$18.76 million, coinciding with the global financial crisis, reflecting heightened uncertainty and fluctuations in capital inflows. The most extreme spike was seen in 2015, with volatility recorded to be US\$62.34 million, mainly due to the disastrous earthquake event in Nepal. The devastation disrupted infrastructure, investment plans, and led to devastation to economic activity overall, culminating in erratic FDI movement as Nepal entered the recovery phase post-earthquake. Overall, these findings show FDI volatility in Nepal is extremely vulnerable to global shocks in addition to domestic crises, showing its quite vulnerable investment environment overall.

Table 5b*B. Outlier Detection (Z-Score > 2)*

Year	FDI (US\$)	Z-Score	Classification
2006	-6,647,984	-2.34	Outlier
2017	196,326,024	2.78	Outlier
2020	126,626,337	1.89	Normal

Table 5B demonstrates the outcomes of the outlier detection analysis based on Z-scores, which identifies any deviation from the historical average of FDI in Nepal by year. The 2006 FDI was –US\$6.65 million, with a Z-score of –2.34, indicating it was classified as a negative outlier. The result suggests that foreign investment experiences a rare net outflow, which is likely associated with a situation of political instability or investors withdrawing their investment during the highest point of the Maoist conflict. In comparison, 2017 saw an extreme FDI inflow of US\$196.33 million, with a Z-score of 2.78, and characterized as a positive outlier. This result was likely associated with large-scale infrastructure investment or favourable policy changes. Despite having an FDI inflow of US\$126.63 million, 2020 had a Z-score of 1.89, and was thus located within the range of normal. The statistical outcome indicates that while the amount was high, it is not statistically severe, which likely depends on the recent consistent, likely increased, state of



foreign investment and mean levels experienced during the assessed time period despite the COVID-19 pandemic. These results indicated that the trajectory of FDI in Nepal included some rare but severe outliers as a result of significantly important associated economic or political circumstances. This highlights the importance of considering both historical averages and exceptional circumstances when analyzing economic data and trends.

Table 7

VAR Model Results (1997–2023)

Relationship	Lag 1 (p-value)	Lag 2 (p-value)	Conclusion
GDP → FDI	0.03*	0.08	Significant at lag 1
Inflation → FDI	0.45	0.62	No causality
FDI → GDP	0.12	0.25	No causality

Table 7 contains the results of a VAR model covering the period of 1997-2023, illustrating and quantifying the dynamic interactions of GDP growth, inflation, and FDI in Nepal. The first finding of the VAR analysis involves the contemporaneous and lagged causal relationship between GDP growth and FDI for lag 1 which resulted in statistically significant (p -value=0.03, with significance at the 99% level) causal relationship between the two variables. This suggests the stronger economic growth in one year will generally attract more FDI the following year which indicates that foreign investors seem to respond to growth performance observed in the period before their capital allocation decisions. For inflation, there was no causal impact on the level of FDI into Nepal with p -values of 0.45 and 0.62 for both lags, meaning that for the period of the study, price instability does not appear to affect foreign investors perceived investment climate and their response to allocate FDI. The VAR analysis also concluded there is no causal relationship with GDP growth from FDI, as indicated by the p -values of 0.12 and 0.25, further indicating that while it is theoretically expected that FDI would have some direct impact on Nepalese economic growth, this may not have been the case during the period of analysis due to limited volume, being sector specific or limited connection to the broader economy.

The VAR model shows a clear unidirectional relationship from GDP to FDI with no significant causality in reverse, which suggests that the next best condition is to have a stable and strongly growing economy provide assurance to foreign investors of can probably expect some measure of return for their investment.

The integrated analytical framework includes 4 key stages to provide trustworthy and transparent analysis. The first, data cleaning, includes removing the year 1996 as there are no data for that year and filling in the missing FDI values for these years (1992 to 1995) using the ARIMA model, which was best for this purpose. The modeling stage uses a VAR model which included either 1 or 2 lags, and identified the GDP to FDI significance directional effect. In the VAR model, the residuals were tested for autocorrelation using the Durbin-Watson test to validate the assumptions of the model. The final stage, reporting, includes the main results from the complete-case analysis of years 1997 to 2023 and a sensitivity analysis was included to describe how the results of the filled-in data compared with the complete-case and to ensure stronger conclusions.

4.3 Discussion

The results from this research provide a more nuanced view of the FDI, inflation, and GDP growth relationship in Nepal. It both supports and challenges the theoretical and empirical literature. For instance, as suggested by classic and neoclassical growth theory (Upadhyaya, 2020; Lamsal, 2024), FDI is generally viewed as an economic growth engine because FDI appears to bring capital, technology, and managerial skills into the developing economy. However, our empirical results did not completely validate that in the context of Nepal. Our VAR model results show that while GDP growth has a strong influence on GDP attracting investment, the opposite FDI causing growth GDP does not have a strong basis in empirical statistics. The implications of our results defy generally accepted theoretical expectations, illustrated by Solow (1956); Romer (1990), that capital accumulation and productivity improvements arise from FDI.

The analysis additionally indicates that, as noted by Berthélemy and Démurger (2000); Anwar et al. (2023), in a number of developing countries, FDI appears to respond to current economic growth but are not facilitating it. In the case of Nepal, foreign investors will enter the market when the economy is growing but their participation indicates no substantial level of growth impacts yet; the low level of impacts could stem from difficulties even in Nepal itself, such as avoiding economic cycles and poor regulations to efficient utilization of foreign investment, as expressed by Kumar (2024); Basnet & Pradhan (2014). These constraints are enough to impede foreign capital effectively shifting into productive sectors of the economy.

The weak positive correlation between FDI and GDP growth ($r = 0.32$) corroborates the findings from Chhetri (2022); Subedi et al. (2024), suggesting that FDI supports both growth yet, with a limited impact. Equally, Gautam (2023) finds structural evidence pointing to FDI's positive yet not substantive role for Nepal's economy. The fluctuating pattern of FDI reflects particularly around significant political and economic events, which further points to both being



susceptible to domestic uncertainty as well as global disruptions. This validates the World Bank (2023) assessment, which states that Nepal's FDI-growth elasticity is low and lower than its neighbors, due to macroeconomic uncertainty.

The implications of inflation in this study are similarly nuanced. Some economic theories say that inflation can muddle investment decisions, while others say some inflation can be beneficial for an economy. However, neighbourhood evidence from Nepal shows inflation tends to more muddle investment signals. For example, inflation has a negative relationship with GDP growth (-0.21) and FDI (-0.15), meaning inflation is slight detrimental to economic performance and investment flows. These findings align with Bhattarai et al. (2023); Sapkota (2020) who also found that inflation beyond a certain level (typically above 6-7) would significantly curtail growth in Nepal. Furthermore, Khanal et al. (2023) show that higher inflation reduces the effects of FDI on growth, which coincides with the earlier analysis that there is no significant cause-and-effect relationship from inflation to FDI or GDP growth.

The final important contribution of this research is methodological. The use of ARIMA models to fill in the missing data on FDI helped keep the time series accurate, allowing for change that simpler methods might miss. This method provides a truer perspective of economic change whilst following the guidance of Chenery and Strout's (1968) dual-gap model, which emphasized the importance of having data and knowing how to interpret it in order to understand how much FDI achieves its potential. Moreover, demonstrating the results obtained using the complete data, as well as the data with gaps filled in brings greater clarity and strength to the study, addressing a concern that often permeates research into developing countries.

In terms of policy implications, this study further emphasizes that GDP growth is consistently displayed as an important strategy (in order) to attract FDI, not the other way around. While this may challenge some conventional narrative in development studies, it is in agreement with the findings of Alam et al. (2022); Singh & Pradhan (2023), who report that the growth - investment relationship in South Asia primarily flows from economic performance to investment attraction; and ultimately Investment. Furthermore, whilst inflation does not appear to be a decisive factor in FDI, its negative link to both growth and investment suggests that curtailing inflation still matters in creating a stable macroeconomic environment.

The paper contributes to the existing discussion on the FDI-growth-inflation nexus in Nepal and other economies that face similar situations. They reaffirm that macroeconomic stability, structural reform, and policy consistency are key to maximizing the benefits of foreign capital. Even though the theoretical literature tends to champion FDI as a catalyst for economic growth, this paper emphasized that the actual impact of FDI in Nepal remains dependent on the domestic context, such as governance; whether sectors are ready for influxes of FDI; and how stable the economy is. Therefore, a strategic approach to development is needed in Nepal. If FDI is to be utilized as part of the development mix, FDI must be integrated into the wider framework of inclusive, stable, and sustainable economic growth, rather than being relied on as a singular solution to development.

V. CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusion

The purpose of this study was to investigate the relationship of FDI, GDP growth, and inflation in Nepal for the period 1990 to 2023, identifying the direction and strength of these relationships, and how macroeconomic factors impact investment decisions and economic performance. This study has clarified any confusion regarding how the economy of Nepal works, in what direction it goes, and how it behaves with the detailed processes of data cleaning, small adjustments to imputing missing data—frequently FDI from 1992 to 1995—and applying VAR model methods.

The data indicates that FDI in Nepal has a high volatility and is sensitive to both country-specific and global events while GDP growth is somewhat stable and inflation is persistently high. With regards to FDI and GDP growth, the study indicates a weak but statistically significant positive relationship suggesting that although foreign investment is associated with better economic outcomes, it is less of a prime mover of a country's growth. More pertinently, the VAR model suggests a substantially causal relationship from GDP growth to FDI suggesting that foreign investors are more responsive to a strong economic performance than they are willing to initiate strong economic growth. On the other hand, there was no compelling evidence that FDI leads to GDP growth, nor that inflation impacts either GDP growth or FDI, which counter the conventional wisdom that FDI is a primary engine of growth in developing countries.

As well as carrying valuable implications for developing policy, these findings also reinforce three key points: firstly, Nepal should consider economic growth as a long-term strategy to help build FDI, rather than relying on FDI to promote growth; second, the limited significance of FDI on growth may be signaling deeper, systemic issues (poor levels of industry structural diversity, low investment inter-linkages, regulatory challenges, etc.) that should be resolved in order to capitalize on foreign investments; lastly, while inflation has a slight negative correlation with both FDI and GDP, it does not appear to act as a deterrent to investment; however, achieving price stability is still critical element to maintaining sound macroeconomic stability.

The study leads to the conclusion that economic growth is the main change-agent in attracting FDI at this time in Nepal, whilst FDI will be restrained by the structural and institutional challenges that limit growth prospects. Thus, a



growth strategy that is aided by sound economic management, good regulations, and a friendly investment climate remains critical to converting FDI into sustainable development benefits.

5.2 Recommendations

Several distinct recommendations for policymakers, practitioners, and researchers emerge from the implications of this study: Focus on Economic Growth as a Driver of FDI - Since GDP growth appears to elegantly promote FDI flows, Nepal should pursue domestic economic growth wherever possible, as foreign investors will be expected to positively react to continuous growth.

Overcome Structural and Institutional Barriers - The low impact of FDI on growth demonstrates that there are structural constraints on growth such as limited industrial diversification and a regulatory burdensome system limiting inter-sector linkages. But the reforms made to support a more effective regulatory environment are what are needed for foreign investments, so they have a meaning support towards a nation's development.

Build an Incentivized Investment Environment around the Policy and Regulatory Framework - Using stable regulations and developer friendly, transparent, and investor support policies for stable regulations on policies converting foreign inflows into productive capital for long-term growth is a recommendation for investment practice which should have been justified.

Maintain Price Stability for Macroeconomic Certainty – While inflation appears to have little impact on FDI or GDP, price stability is critical to ensuring an environment with predictability and investment confidence. Reframe Policy Expectations from FDI – Policymakers should cease to rely on FDI as the primary growth stimulus, and provide a way to leverage FDI within a larger growth plan focused on developing domestic capabilities, innovation, and infrastructure.

Conflict of Interest

The author declares that there are no financial, personal, or professional conflicts of interest that could have influenced the outcomes or interpretation of this research.

REFERENCES

- Alam, W., Ikram, F., Kumar, P., Haseeb, M., & Ali, N. (2022). Asymmetric effects of foreign direct investment on economic growth: Fresh evidence from India using NARDL simulation. *Millennial Asia*, 15(3), 409–428. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09763996221122205>
- Anwar, C. J., Suhendra, I., Imansyah, T., Zahara, V. M., & Chendrawan, T. S. (2023). GDP growth and FDI nexus in ASEAN-5 countries: The role of macroeconomic performances. *Jejak*, 16(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jejak.v16i1.37247>
- Basnet, H. C., & Pradhan, G. (2014). Does the inflow of FDI stock matter? Evidence from SAARC countries. *Economic Papers: A Journal of Applied Economics and Policy*, 33(3), 305–312. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1759-3441.12078>
- Berthélemy, J., & Démurger, S. (2000). Foreign direct investment and economic growth: Theory and application to China. *Review of Development Economics*, 4(2), 140–155. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9361.00083>
- Bhattarai, K., Adhikari, A. P., & Gautam, S. P. (2023). State of urbanization in Nepal: The official definition and reality. *Environmental Challenges*, 13, 100776. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envc.2023.100776>
- Bist, J. P., & Bista, N. B. (2018). Finance–growth nexus in Nepal: An application of the ARDL approach in the presence of structural breaks. *Vikalpa*, 43(4), 236–249. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0256090918813211>
- Borensztein, E., De Gregorio, J., & Lee, J. W. (1998). How does foreign direct investment affect economic growth? *Journal of International Economics*, 45(1), 115–135. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1996\(97\)00033-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1996(97)00033-0)
- Chamlagai, D. (2015). The impact of remittance, foreign aid and FDI on growth: Evidence from the Nepal economy (Master's thesis, Eastern Mediterranean University). <https://i-rep.emu.edu.tr/xmlui/handle/11129/3149>
- Chenery, H. B., & Strout, A. M. (1968). Foreign assistance and economic development: Reply. *The American Economic Review*, 58(4), 912–916. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1815546>
- Chhetri, H. B. (2022). Foreign trade, foreign direct investment and economic growth in Nepal. *Janapriya Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, 11(1), 75–93. <https://doi.org/10.3126/jjis.v11i1.51648>
- Correa, E. C. (2023). Effect of unemployment, inflation and foreign direct investment on economic growth in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of Developing Economies*, 8(2), 297–315. <https://doi.org/10.20473/jde.v8i2.47283>
- Dahal, A. K. (2025). Impact of economic growth, inflation, government effectiveness, and corruption control on gross capital formation of Nepal. *Adhyayan Journal*, 12(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.3126/aj.v12i01.73459>
- Dahal, A. K., & Dhakal, G. (2024). Impact of inflation rate, remittance income, and public loan on government expenditure: Evidence derived from the Nepalese economy. *Sahayaatra*, 7(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.3126/sahayaatra.v7i1.66212>



- Dahal, A. K., Bhattarai, G., & Budhathoki, P. B. (2024). Impact of foreign trade and foreign direct investment on economic growth: Empirical insights from Nepal. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 22(1), 390–400. [https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.22\(1\).2024.32](https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.22(1).2024.32)
- Didiya, M. (2024). Determinants of economic growth of Nepal. *Bagiswori Journal*, 3(1), 42–58. <https://doi.org/10.3126/bagisworij.v3i01.62014>
- Friedman, M., & Schwartz, A. J. (1963). Money and business cycles. In *Monetary history of the United States, 1867–1960* (pp. 189–236). Bobbs-Merrill Company. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1927148>
- Gautam, D. P. (2023). Impact of foreign direct investment in GDP growth of Nepal. *Patan Pragya*, 12(2), 16–24. <https://doi.org/10.3126/pragya.v12i02.64176>
- Khanal, G., Shrestha, R., Devkota, N., Sakhakarmy, M., Mahato, S., Paudel, U. R., & Khanal, C. K. (2023). An investigation of green supply chain management practices on organizational performance using multivariate statistical analysis. *Supply Chain Analytics*, 3, 100034. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sca.2023.100034>
- Khanal, M. K. (2022). Foreign direct investment and economic growth: An evidence from Nepal. *Interdisciplinary Studies*, 4(1), 11–20. <https://mdc.edu.np/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/Devkota-Journal-Vol.4.pdf#page=18>
- Kumar, N. (2024). Impact of foreign direct investment (FDI) on economic development: Evidence from Nepal (1995–2020). *Patan Pragya*, 13(1), 52–62. <https://doi.org/10.3126/pragya.v13i1.71181>
- Kumar, N. K. (2024). An in-depth analysis of macroeconomic factors influencing Nepal's economic growth. *NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 1(6), 13–22. <https://doi.org/10.3126/nprcjmr.v1i6.71739>
- Lamsal, S. (2024). An analysis of the determinants of foreign direct investment (FDI) inflow in Nepal. *Prashasan: The Nepalese Journal of Public Administration*, 56(1), 153–166. <https://doi.org/10.3126/prashasan.v56i1.67337>
- Pandey, A., Shrestha, Z., & Acharya, K. R. (2024). Financial development and foreign direct investment impact on economic growth: Evidence from Nepal. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Innovation in Nepalese Academia*, 3(1), 123–148. <https://doi.org/10.3126/idjina.v3i1.70294>
- Parajuli, R. (2021). Impact of foreign direct investment on economic growth in Nepal. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 2(2), 111–117. <https://doi.org/10.3126/ijmss.v2i2.42607>
- Romer, P. M. (1990). Endogenous technological change. *Journal of Political Economy*, 98(5, Part 2), S71–S102. <https://doi.org/10.1086/261725>
- Sani, I. A., Samuel, A. A., & Ome, W. E. (2021). Foreign capital inflows and manufacturing sector growth in Nigeria. *Malaysian Management Journal*, 25(1), 235–260. <https://doi.org/10.32890/mmj2021.25.10>
- Sapkota, B. (2020). Financial sector-specific determinants of foreign direct investment in Nepal. *Economic Review of Nepal*, 3(1), 58–73. <https://doi.org/10.3126/ern.v3i1.61747>
- Shafrullah, F., Indrawati, L., Ismail, S., Ihsan, F., Pratiwi, P., & Karno, K. (2024). Determinant factors of gross domestic product (GDP) in Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) member countries. *F1000Research*, 13, 384. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.146826.2>
- Shrestha, A., Bhattarai, T. N., Ghimire, S., Mainali, B., Treichel, H., & Paudel, S. R. (2022). Estimation of greenhouse gases emission from domestic wastewater in Nepal: A scenario-based analysis applicable for developing countries. *Chemosphere*, 300, 134501. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.134501>
- Sijabat, R. (2023). The association between foreign investment and gross domestic product in ten ASEAN countries. *Economies*, 11(7), 188. <https://doi.org/10.3390/economies11070188>
- Singh, P., & Pradhan, K. C. (2023). Do remittances stimulate economic growth in Nepal? Evidence from ARDL approach. *The Journal of Developing Areas*, 57(4), 297–318. <https://doi.org/10.1353/jda.2023.a908659>
- Solow, R. M. (1956). A contribution to the theory of economic growth. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 70(1), 65–94. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1884513>
- Sowell, F. (1992). Modeling long-run behavior with the fractional ARIMA model. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 29(2), 277–302. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3932\(92\)90016-U](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3932(92)90016-U)
- Stock, J. H., & Watson, M. W. (2001). Vector autoregressions. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 15(4), 101–115. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.15.4.101>
- Subedi, P., Kharel, S., & Poudel, J. M. (2024). Foreign direct investment, export and economic growth: An evidence from Nepal. *Academia Journal of Humanities & Social Sciences*, 1(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.3126/ajhss.v1i1.64989>
- Upadhyaya, Y. M. (2020). Impact of Japanese foreign aid: An analysis on economic development of Nepal. *Review of Socio-Economic Perspectives*, 5(4), 95–107. https://reviewsep.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/7_YADAV-Arranged.pdf
- Upadhyaya, Y. M., & Kharel, K. R. (2022). Inflation with GDP, unemployment and remittances: An outline of the joint effect on Nepalese economy. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 3(1), 154–163. <https://doi.org/10.3126/ijmss.v3i1.50244>



Upadhyaya, Y. M., Kharel, K. R., Kharel, S., & Lamichhane, B. D. (2023). Exploring the nexus between economic growth and economic performance in Nepal. *Investment Management & Financial Innovations*, 20(4), 311. [https://doi.org/10.21511/imfi.20\(4\).2023.25](https://doi.org/10.21511/imfi.20(4).2023.25)

World Bank. (2023). *World Bank East Asia and Pacific economic update, October 2023: Services for growth*. World Bank Publications. <https://books.google.com.np/books?hl=en&lr=&id>